





Abstract

- We study multi-player reinforcement learning (RL) in *heterogeneous* environments, where the reward distributions and transition probabilities for all players are *similar but not necessarily identical*.
- Our formulation can be used to model *multi-task* RL in application domains such as healthcare robotics.
- We study when and how players can improve their collective performance by sharing and aggregating data.
- We provide upper and lower bounds that characterize what can be done and what cannot be done.

Problem Formulation

- A multi-player episodic RL (MPERL) problem instance consists of M episodic, layered, tabular MDPs $\{\mathcal{M}_p = (H, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, d_0, \mathbb{P}_p, R_p)\}_{p=1}^M$, where
- H is an episode length, S is a finite state space of size S, and A is a finite action space of size A;
- $d_0 \in \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ is the initial state distributionshared across all players;
- For each player p, $\mathbb{P}_p: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \Delta(\mathcal{S})$ is its transition probability, and $R_p: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to [0, 1]$ is its expected reward.

An MPERL problem instance is said to be ϵ -dissimilar, if for every pair of players $p, q \in [M]$, and $(s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$, $|R_p(s,a) - R_q(s,a)| \le \epsilon, \ \|\mathbb{P}_p(\cdot \mid s,a) - \mathbb{P}_q(\cdot \mid s,a)\|_1 \le \frac{\epsilon}{H}.$

Interaction protocol. In each episode $k \in [K]$, each player $p \in [M]$ interacts with its respective MDP, \mathcal{M}_p , and executes a policy, $\pi^k(p)$, generating a trajectory $\tau_p^k = (s_{1,p}^k, a_{1,p}^k, s_{2,p}^k, a_{2,p}^k, \dots, s_{H,p}^k, a_{H,p}^k)$ according to \mathbb{P}_p and R_p . Once all players finish, all M trajectories are shared among the players.

Performance measure. The players seek to minimize their *collective* where

• $V_{0,p}^{\star} = \mathbb{E}_{s_1 \sim d_0} \left[V_{1,p}^{\star}(s_1) \right]$ is the expected optimal value of player p, and • $V_{0,p}^{\pi^k(p)} = \mathbb{E}_{s_1 \sim d_0} \left[V_{1,p}^{\pi^k(p)}(s_1) \right]$ is the expected value of player p executing policy $\pi^k(p)$.

Application in healthcare robotics (e.g., Kubota et al, 2020).

A group of assistive robots deployed to provide personalized healthcare services. Si 158 Action 1 Action 2 Action 3 Action 1 $R_p(s,2) =$ $R_p(s,1)$ = $R_p(s,3) =$ 0.5 0.4 0.6

Baseline: individual single-task learning. If each player learns separately with a state-of-the art algorithm (e.g. UCBVI-Bernstein (Azar, Osband & Munos, 2017), Euler (Zanette & Brunskill, 2019), Strong-Euler (Simchowitz & Jamieson, 2019)), they can achieve a gap-independent collective regret guarantee of $\text{Reg}(K) \leq O(M\sqrt{H^2SAK})$.

Provably Efficient Multi-Task Reinforcement Learning with Model Transfer

Chicheng Zhang¹, Zhi Wang²

¹University of Arizona, ²University of California San Diego.

e regret,
$$\operatorname{Reg}(K) = \sum_{p=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(V_{0,p}^{\star} - V_{0,p}^{\pi^{k}(p)} \right)$$
,





For each episode k and each player p:

Maintain models:

where suboptimality gap $gap_p(s, a) := V_p^{\star}(s) - Q_p^{\star}(s, a)$. **Theorem:** If $\{\mathcal{M}_p\}_{p=1}^M$ are ϵ -dissimilar, then for K large enough, Multi-task-Euler satisfies that with probability $1-\delta$,

see also our full paper for a *gap-dependent* regret lower bound. **Remark:** The upper and lower bounds nearly match for any constant H.

Algorithm: Multi-task-Euler

• Individual estimates of transition probability \mathbb{P}_p , reward \hat{R}_p and count $n_p(\cdot, \cdot)$ based on player p's experience; • Aggregate estimates of transition probability \mathbb{P} , reward R and count $n(\cdot, \cdot)$ based on all players' experience.

Optimisic value iteration using heterogeneous data: (recursively) compute upper and lower bound estimates of Q_p^{\star} , namely, \overline{Q}_p and \underline{Q}_p , using value iteration; specifically:

• Construct $\underline{agg}-Q_p$ and $\overline{agg}-Q_p$ based on aggregate model estimates and an ϵ -aware bonus term; • Construct ind- Q_{p} and $\overline{ind}-\overline{Q}_{p}$ based on individual model estimates of player p and a standard bonus term; • \overline{Q}_p is chosen to be the tighter confidence bound between $\overline{\operatorname{agg-}Q_p}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{ind-}Q_p}$; a similar construction holds for Q_p .

Execute policy: Execute $\pi^k(p)$, the greedy policy of \overline{Q}_p , obtaining trajectory τ_p^k .

Update models: Update individual estimates using τ_p^k , and update aggregate estimates using $\{\tau_q^k\}_{q=1}^M$.

Instance-dependent Regret Upper Bounds

Subpar state-action pairs: state-action pairs that are far from optimal for some player, formally, $\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon} := \{ (s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} : \exists p \in [M], \operatorname{gap}_{p}(s, a) \geq 96H\epsilon \},\$

$$\operatorname{Reg}(K) \leq \tilde{O}\left(M\sqrt{H^2|\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}^C|K} + \sqrt{MH^2|\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}|K}\right)$$

see also our full paper for a *gap-dependent* regret upper bound.

Comparison to individual single-task baseline: If $|\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}^{C}| \ll SA$ and $M \gg 1$, Multi-task-Euler provides a regret bound of lower order than individual Strong-Euler.

Instance-dependent Regret Lower Bounds

Theorem (informal): For any $l, l^C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l + l^C = SA$, there exists some ϵ such that for any algorithm Alg, there exists an ϵ -MPERL problem instance with $|\mathcal{I}_{\frac{\epsilon}{102H}}| \geq l$, and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{Reg}_{\operatorname{Alg}}(K)\right] \ge \Omega\left(M\sqrt{H^2 l^C K} + \sqrt{M H^2 l K}\right);$$

