CSC 588: Machine learning theory

Lecture 21: Proof of Online Mirror Descent

Lecturer: Chicheng Zhang

Scribe: Yao Zhao

Spring 2021

# 1 Guarantees of OMD

Online mirror descent provides a generalized form of the guarantee we found for OGD:

# 1.1 Regret for OMD

**Theorem 1.** If  $\Psi$  is 1-SC with respect to some norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , then OMD with distance generating function  $\Psi$  and learning rate  $\eta$  has regret guarantee:

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \Omega : R_T(\mathbf{u}) \le \frac{D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_1)}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_*^2.$$

Specifically, if  $D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_1) \leq H^2$  and  $\forall t, \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_* \leq \rho$ , then:

$$\eta = \frac{H}{\rho} \sqrt{\frac{1}{T}} \implies R_T(\mathbf{u}) \le H\rho\sqrt{T}.$$

Before moving on to the proof of this theorem, we first discuss several interesting examples, which were first introduced in the last lecture.

Example 1: *p*-norm algorithm

Take  $\hat{\Omega} = \mathbb{R}^{d}$ ,  $\Psi(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2(p-1)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{p}^{2}$ ,  $p \in (1, 2]$ , which is convex. In addition,  $\|\cdot\|_{p}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{q}$  are dual norms, given

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

Initialize  $\mathbf{w}_1 = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We have regret bound as follows,

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \Omega : R_T(\mathbf{u}) \le \frac{\|\mathbf{u}\|_p^2}{2(p-1)\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_q^2,$$

where,  $\mathbf{g}_t$  is the sub-gradient at  $\mathbf{w}_t$ . Furthermore, if  $f_t$  is  $R_q$ -Lipschitz  $(\forall t, \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_q^2 \leq R_q)$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_q$ , and  $\|\mathbf{u}\|_p \leq B_p$ . It then turns out,

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \Omega : R_T(\mathbf{u}) \le \frac{B_p^2}{2(p-1)\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2}TR_q^2,$$

Tuning the step size  $\eta$ , we have

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \Omega : R_T(\mathbf{u}) \le B_p R_q \sqrt{\frac{T}{p-1}},$$

when,

$$\eta = \frac{B_p}{R_q} \sqrt{\frac{1}{(p-1)T}}.$$

Especially, it reduces to OGD when p = 2.

**Example 2:** exponential weight algorithm Take  $\Omega = \Delta^{d-1}$ ,  $\Psi(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i w_i \ln w_i$ . The initialization is  $\mathbf{w}_1 = (\frac{1}{d}, \dots, \frac{1}{d})$ . We have

$$D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_1) = \sum_i u_i \ln \frac{u_i}{\frac{1}{d}} \le \ln d_i$$

where, the last inequality holds as  $u_i \in [0, 1]$ . It then turns out,

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \Omega : R_T(\mathbf{u}) \le \frac{\ln d}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_{\infty}^2.$$

Furthermore, if  $\forall t, \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_{\infty}^2 \leq R_{\infty}$  then,

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \Omega : R_T(\mathbf{u}) \le \frac{\ln d}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} T R_{\infty}^2.$$

Tuning the step size  $\eta$ , we have

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \Omega : R_T(\mathbf{u}) \le R_\infty \sqrt{T \ln d}.$$

when,

$$\eta = \frac{1}{R_{\infty}} \sqrt{\frac{\ln d}{T}}.$$

#### 1.2Proof of the regret bound

The proof follows the same procedure as OGD.

Proof. Step 1: linearization

$$R_T(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{t=1}^T \left( f_t(\mathbf{w}_1) - f_t(\mathbf{u}) \right) \le \sum_{t=1}^T \langle \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{u} \rangle.$$

**Step 2:** first order optimality condition at  $w_{t+1}$ ,

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\Omega} < \eta \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{w} > + D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_t).$$

where, the two terms of right hand side correspond to correctiveness and conservativeness respectively. The first order optimality condition tells us that

$$< \nabla f(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}), \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}_{t+1} \ge 0.$$

which means

$$\langle \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{w}_t) + \eta \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}_{t+1} \rangle \ge 0$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{u} \rangle &\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}_{t+1}, \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{w}_t) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} \langle D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_t) - D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_{t+1}) - D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}, \mathbf{w}_t) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**Step 3:** bounding the instantaneous  $\langle \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{u} \rangle$ 

$$\langle \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{u} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{u} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{w}_{t+1} \rangle$$
  
=  $\frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_*^2 + \frac{1}{\eta} (D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_t) - D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_{t+1})).$ 

**Step 4:** sum over t

$$R_{T}(\mathbf{u}) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle \mathbf{g}_{t}, \mathbf{w}_{t} - \mathbf{u} \rangle$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|\mathbf{g}_{t}\|_{*}^{2} + \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_{t}) - D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_{t+1}))$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|\mathbf{g}_{t}\|_{*}^{2} + \frac{1}{\eta} D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_{1}).$$

### 1.3 Example

A concrete example is provided here. Consider the weather prediction problem where there are d experts. Take  $\Omega = \Delta^{d-1}$  and  $f_t(\mathbf{w}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, l_t \rangle$ . And  $\forall t, i = 1, \ldots, d, l_t(t) \in [0, 1]$ . How do we design OMD to minimize  $R_t(\Omega)$ ? Here we illustrate the results with the following algorithms that we have seen before.

1. exponential weight algorithm,

$$R_T(\Omega) \le \frac{\ln d}{\eta} + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \|l_t\|_{\infty}^2 \le O(\sqrt{T \ln d}).$$

2. online gradient decent,

$$R_T(\Omega) \le \frac{\max_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \Omega} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|^2}{2\eta} + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \|l_t\|_2^2.$$

Where, the first term is bounded by a constant, and the second term is bounded by  $T\eta d$ , which is tight at  $(1, \ldots, 1)$ . By tuning the step size  $\eta$ , we regret bound of online gradient decent is upper bounded by  $O(\sqrt{Td})$ .

3. p-norm algorithm,

Take  $p = \frac{\ln d}{\ln d - 1}$  and  $q = \ln d$ .

$$R_T(\Omega) \le \frac{\max_{\mathbf{u}\in\Omega} \|\mathbf{u}\|_p^2}{2\eta(p-1)} + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|l_t\|_q^2 \le \frac{1}{2\eta} (\ln d - 1) + \frac{\eta}{2} e^2 T \le O(\sqrt{\ln d \cdot T})$$

where,  $||l_t||_q^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d l_t(i)^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le d^{\frac{1}{q}} \le e$ 

# 2 Some more general OCO results

## 2.1 Design algorithm when T is unknown

1. Doubling trick. Suppose you are given an algorithm that accepts the horizon T as parameter and has regret guarantee of  $a\sqrt{T}$ . Let  $T_1 < T_2 < \ldots$  be a fixed sequence of integers and consider the algorithm that runs with horizon  $T_1$  until  $t = T_1$ , then runs with horizon  $T_2$  until  $t = T_1 + T_2$ , and then restart again with horizon  $T_1$  until  $t = T_1 + T_2 + T_3$ , where  $T_{l+1} = 2T_l$ . The resulting regret bound is  $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}-1}a\sqrt{t}$ .

2. Time-varying step size. By using step size  $\eta_t = \frac{H}{\rho} \sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}$ , we can achieve regret bound as  $O(H\rho\sqrt{T})$ .

# 2.2 Optimality of regret guarantee

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a convex set, and  $D = \sup_{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \Omega} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_2$ . For any algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , and time horizon T. Then there exists a sequence of linear functions  $f_t(\mathbf{w}_t) = \langle \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{w} \rangle$ , and  $\|\mathbf{g}_t\|_2 \leq L$ , such that

$$R_T(\Omega) \ge \frac{LD\sqrt{T}}{4}$$

This lower bounds shows that OGD is optimal for  $\Omega$ , and assuming L-Lipschitz of the loss functions w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

We can also show a similar result for  $\Omega \in \Delta^{d-1}$ , which means the exponential weight algorithm is optimal.

$$R_T(\Omega) \ge const \cdot L\sqrt{T \ln d}$$

Here, we need the assumption that T is large enough, and  $\|\mathbf{g}_t\|_{\infty} \leq L$ .

Caveat: This doesn't rule out algorithms that can exploit "easy data" or "weak adversary".

#### 2.3 Follow the regularized leader

At each time step, t = 1, 2, ..., T:

- choose  $\mathbf{w}_t = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\Omega} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} f_s(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{\Psi(\mathbf{w})}{\eta};$
- receive  $f_t$  and suffer the loss  $f_t(\mathbf{w}_t)$ ;

Intuitively,  $\mathbf{w}_t$  will oscillate a lot without regularization ( $\eta \to \infty$ , aka FTL), which induces large regret. But with this regularization, the algorithm becomes stable and amenable for analysis.

**Remark 3.** Chickeng notes after lecture: sometimes people consider doing a first order approximation on  $f_s$ 's. This results in an OCO algorithm that only need to access the subgradients of the loss functions. This is called Nesterov's dual averaging. Specifically:

At each time step, t = 1, 2, ..., T:

- choose  $\mathbf{w}_t = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\Omega}\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \langle g_s, w \rangle + \frac{\Psi(\mathbf{w})}{\eta};$
- receive  $f_t$  and suffer the loss  $f_t(\mathbf{w_t})$ , receive  $g_t \in \partial f_t(\mathbf{w_t})$ ;

In some setting of  $\Omega$  and  $\Psi$ , FTRL may coincide with OMD,

$$\mathbf{w}_t = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\Omega} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \langle \mathbf{g}_s, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \frac{\Psi(\mathbf{w})}{\eta} = \nabla \Psi_{\Omega}^* \left( -\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \mathbf{g}_s \right).$$

Next lecture will be about exploiting strong convexity in online convex optimization.