

CSC380: Principles of Data Science

Basic machine learning 1

Chicheng Zhang



- Probability
- Statistics



- Data Visualization
- Predictive modeling
- Clustering

Outline

- Introduction to Machine Learning
- Supervised Learning: Linear Regression
- Overfitting and underfitting
- Regularization in regression
- Feature Selection

Introduction to Machine Learning

What is machine learning (ML)?

• **<u>Tom Mitchell</u>** established Machine Learning Department at CMU (2006).

Machine Learning, <u>Tom Mitchell</u>, McGraw Hill, 1997.



Machine Learning is the study of computer algorithms that improve automatically through experience. Applications range from datamining programs that discover general rules in large data sets, to information filtering systems that automatically learn users' interests.

This book provides a single source introduction to the field. It is written for advanced undergraduate and graduate students, and for developers and researchers in the field. No prior background in artificial intelligence or statistics is assumed.

- In short: algorithms adapt to data
- A subfield of <u>Artificial Intelligence (AI)</u> computers perform "intelligent" tasks.
- Classical AI vs ML: rule-driven approaches vs. data-driven approaches

Supervised vs Unsupervised Learning

- Supervised Learning Training data consist of inputs and outputs
 - Classification, regression, translation, ...

- Unsupervised Learning Training data only contain inputs
 - Clustering, dimensionality reduction, segmentation, ...





Supervised Learning Basics



Picture from Samory Kpotufe

Supervised learning

 Training / test data: datasets comprised of <u>labeled examples</u>: pairs of (feature, label)



training

test

No

No

training data How should test data be chosen?

- Should test data be identical to training data?
- Should test data be just ONE data point?

Supervised learning setup

- Key assumption: training and test data are drawn from the same *population*, or *data generating distribution D*
 - They are assumed to be IID samples: independent and identically distributed
- Training and test data are independent



cat



Supervised learning setup

 Scenario 1: classification



- Scenario 2: regression
 (e.g. house price prediction)
- 2000 sqft, 3 bedrooms, \$907K function ("regressor") \$840K
 - How to evaluate?

- Loss function *ℓ*: measures the quality of prediction ŷ respect to true label y
- Examples:
 - Classification error

 $\ell(y, \hat{y}) = 1$ if $y \neq \hat{y}$, and zero otherwise

• Square loss $\ell(y, \hat{y}) = (y - \hat{y})^2$ - regression

Supervised learning setup in one figure



• Goal: design learning algorithm \mathcal{A} , such that:

after training, its output predictor f has low test error

Test error: average of $\ell(y, f(x))$ in test set

Supervised Learning: Linear Regression

Linear Regression



Regression Learn a function that predicts outputs from inputs,

$$y = f(x)$$

Outputs y are real-valued

Linear Regression As the name suggests, uses a *linear function*:

 $y = w^T x + b$

Linear Regression

Where is linear regression useful?



Massie and Rose (1997)

Used anywhere a linear relationship is assumed between continuous inputs / outputs

Line Equation



Recall the equation for a line has a *slope* and an *intercept*,

$$y = w \cdot x + b$$

Slope Intercept

- Intercept (b) indicates where line crosses yaxis
- Slope controls angle of line
- Positive slope (w) \rightarrow Line goes up left-to-right
- Negative slope → Line goes down left-to-right

Math Interlude: inner product



How to compute $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$?

Multiply corresponding entries and add:

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = \langle 2, -3 \rangle \cdot \langle 5, 1 \rangle = (2)(5) + (-3)(1) = 7$$

 $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (or just 7) } (\text{so } \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} \text{ becomes } \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y})$

Linear regression in dimension more than 2



$$h(x) = w_1 \cdot x_1 + w_2 \cdot x_2 + b = w \cdot x + b$$

$$y = w \cdot x + b$$
 is a hyperplane

Linear Regression

For D-dimensional input vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$ the plane equation,

$$y = w^T x + b$$

Sometimes we simplify this by including the intercept into the weight vector,

$$\widetilde{w} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_D \\ b \end{pmatrix} \qquad \widetilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_D \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad y = \widetilde{w}^T \widetilde{x}$$



Since:

$$\widetilde{w}^T \widetilde{x} = \sum_{d=1}^D w_d x_d + b \cdot 1$$

$$= w^T x + b$$

Learning linear regression models

• Which line is a better predictor, blue or green?





٠

Learning Linear Regression Models

There are at least two ways to think about fitting regression:

- Intuitive Find a plane/line that is close to data
- **Functional** Find a line that minimizes the *square* loss

Fitting Linear Regression



Intuition Find a line that is as close as possible to every training data point

The distance from each point to the line is the **residual**



https://www.activestate.com/resources/quick-reads/how-to-run-linear-regressions-in-python-scikit-learn/

Fitting linear regression

Each point *i* induces a separate residual value $y_i - w \cdot x_i$



- We'd like to find w such that all $y_i w \cdot x_i^{a}$ are small
- We can convert this to an optimization problem: find w that minimizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - w \cdot x_i)^2$$

• This is called the *least squares solution*

٠

Math Interlude: optimization problems

• The above is often written as: find

•



These are called unconstrained optimization problems

Math Interlude: optimization problems

Example Suppose we have 2 data points (x=1, y=0) and (x=-1, y=1), find the least squares solution \hat{w}

Solution the objective function of least squares is $(y_1 - w x_1)^2 + (y_2 - w x_2)^2$

which is

$$w^{2} + (1 + w)^{2} = 2w^{2} + 2w + 1$$

the minimizer is $\widehat{w} = -\frac{b}{2a} = -\frac{1}{2}$

Why cannot the line fit perfectly?

• Here, we only consider y = w x without intercept



Announcements 3/31

• HW5 was out last Thursday (due 4/8)

• We will have quiz 8 this Wednesday (4/2)

 Participation bonuses are now fractional and unlimited in any one lecture

Recap 3/31

Linear regression

• Given training data $(x_i, y_i), i = 1, ..., n$, find a linear predictor w such that $w \cdot x$ can predict *future unseen* y's

 Ordinary least squares: find *w* that minimizes average training loss (aka mean square error, MSE)

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_i(y_i-w\cdot x_i)^2$$



In-class exercise: training and test loss

We have the following training data

Study hours (x)	Exam score (y)
1	2
3	6

• We fit a linear regression model $y = w \cdot x$ that minimizes mean square error. What is this model \hat{w} ?

• What is the average loss of model \hat{w} on training and test data? Study hours (x) Exam score (y

Study hours (x)	Exam score (y)
4	7
5	10

In-class exercise: training and test loss

Solution	
$\widehat{w} = \operatorname{argmin}_{w} (1w - 2)^2 + (3w)^2 + (3w$	$(v - 6)^2$
Minimizer: $\widehat{w} = -\frac{b}{2a} = 2$	$10w^2 - 40w + 40$

Study hours (x)	Exam score (y)
1	2
3	6

Training loss of \widehat{w} : $\frac{1}{2}((2-2)^2 + (6-6)^2) = 0$ size of training set

Test loss of \hat{w} : $\frac{1}{2}((8-7)^2+(10-10)^2) = 0.5$ size of test set

Study hours (x)	Exam score (y)	Predicted score
1	2	2
3	6	6

Study hours (x)	Exam score (y)	Predicted score
4	7	8
5	10	10

Usually, a trained model has smaller training loss than test loss

Math Interlude: optimization problems

• Unconstrained optimization problem: find $\operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(w)$



- Solutions can oftentimes be found in one of two ways:
 - 1. Closed form solutions
 - 2. Open-source or commercial optimization libraries (e.g. cvxpy, scipy.optimize.minimize)

Linear Regression in Scikit-Learn

For Evaluation

Load your libraries,

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import numpy as np from sklearn import datasets, linear_model from sklearn.metrics import mean squared error, r2 score



Load data,

Load the diabetes dataset
diabetes_X, diabetes_y = datasets.load_diabetes(return_X_y=True)

Use only one feature
diabetes_X = diabetes_X[:, np.newaxis, 2]

Samples total442Dimensionality10Featuresreal, -.2 < x < .2</td>Targetsinteger 25 - 346

Train /	Test	Sp	lit:
---------	------	----	------

diabetes_X_train = diabetes_X[:-20]
diabetes_X_test = diabetes_X[-20:]

diabetes_y_train = diabetes_y[:-20]
diabetes_y_test = diabetes_y[-20:]

Linear Regression in Scikit-Learn

Train (fit) and predict,

Create linear regression object
regr = linear_model.LinearRegression()

Train the model using the training sets
regr.fit(diabetes_X_train, diabetes_y_train)

```
# Make predictions using the testing set
diabetes_y_pred = regr.predict(diabetes_X_test)
```



Plot regression line with the test set,

Plot outputs
plt.scatter(diabetes_X_test, diabetes_y_test, color="black")
plt.plot(diabetes_X_test, diabetes_y_pred, color="blue", linewidth=3)

plt.xticks(())
plt.yticks(())

plt.show()



learn

Coefficient of Determination R²



Coefficient of Determination R²

$$R^2 = 1 - rac{RSS}{SS}$$
 Variance unexplained by
Regression model
Variance using avg. prediction

Maximum value R²=1.0 means model explains *all variation* in the data

R²=0 means model is as good as predicting average response

R²<0 means model is worse than predicting average output (rare)



Overfitting and underfitting

Challenge in machine learning: generalization

Why not learn the most complex predictor that can work flawlessly for the training data and be done with it? (i.e., predicts every training data point correctly)

Problem: may not generalize to unseen data – called *overfitting* the training data.

In other words, memorization is not generalization

<u>Mitigation:</u> Fit the training set but don't "overdo" it -- regularization.



green: may be sensitive to noise in training data **black**: more robust and can generalize better

Overfitting and Underfitting



Ideal: select a model that trades off bias & complexity, i.e.,

- sophisticated enough to capture meaningful patterns for accurate predictions,

Low bias

- yet not so intricate that it overfits the data. Low complexity
Model selection

Examples of model complexity:

- The number of features used for prediction (more features => more complex)
- The weight of the predictors used for prediction (higher weight => more complex)

Model selection: choosing model with "just right" complexity for data



Regularization in regression

Outliers in Linear Regression



https://www.jmp.com/en_us/statistics-knowledge-portal/what-is-multiple-regression/mlr-residual-analysis-and-outliers.html

Dealing with Outliers

Too many outliers can indicate many things: heavy-tailed data, corrupted data, bad data collection, ...

A few ways to handle outliers...

This lecture: penalize extreme weights to avoid overfitting (Regularization)

Regularization

Regularization helps avoid overfitting to training data...

 $Model = argmin_{model}Loss(Model, Data) + \lambda \cdot Regularizer(Model)$ 97 Regularization **Regularization Penalty** Strength 96 95 **Y**₉₄ **Red** model is without regularization 93 Green model is with regularization 92 1.0 2.0 0.5 1.5

Regularized Least Squares

A couple regularizers are so common they have specific names

L2 Regularized Linear Regression

Ridge Regression

L1 Regularized Linear Regression

• LASSO -- "Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator"

Regularized Least Squares





L1-regularized Least-Squares (LASSO) Absolute Value (L1) Penalty

$$w^{L1} = \arg\min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \lambda |w| \qquad |w| = \sum_{j=1}^{d} |w_j|$$

Scikit-Learn : L2 Regularized Regression

sklearn.linear_model.Ridge

class sklearn.linear_model.Ridge(alpha=1.0, *, fit_intercept=True, normalize='deprecated', copy_X=True, max_iter=None, tol=0.001, solver='auto', positive=False, random_state=None) 1 [source]

alpha : {float, ndarray of shape (n_targets,)}, default=1.0

Regularization strength; must be a positive float. Regularization improves the conditioning of the problem and reduces the variance of the estimates. Larger values specify stronger regularization. Alpha corresponds to 1 / (2C) in other linear models such as LogisticRegression or LinearSVC. If an array is passed, penalties are assumed to be specific to the targets. Hence they must correspond in number.

Alpha is what we have been calling λ

Scikit-Learn: L2 Regularized Regression



L2 (Ridge) reduces impact of any single data point

Choosing Regularization Strength

We need to tune regularization strength to get the best performance...

$$w_{\perp}^{\text{L2}} = \arg\min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$$

underfitting

high bias

Prediction error

high variance

test error

training error

best model

Model complexity

Regularization strength λ

High $\lambda =>$ learned w has small weights increases bias & decreases complexity

Model selection: How should we properly tune λ ?

Naïve idea: using training loss to choose regularization

How to choose a good λ ?

First, we need set of candidate λ 's

• e.g., geometric grid $\Lambda = \{0.1, 0.2, 0.4, .., 1000\}$

Is the following a good approach?

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$: Train ridge estimator w_{λ} with regularization λ

Return: w_{λ} with the smallest training loss

No – this likely always chooses the smallest $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ which is prone to overfitting



Model Selection approach 1: hold-out validation set 48

How to choose a good $\lambda \in \Lambda$?

Partition data into Train-Validation-Test sets



- Ideally, Test set is kept in a "vault" and only peek at it once final predictor is selected
- Small dataset: 50% Training, 25% Validation, 25% Test (rule of thumb by statisticians)
- For large data (say a few thousands), 80-10-10 is usually fine.

Model Selection approach 1: hold-out validation set 49

Key idea: use validation performance as a proxy of test performance

Train Validation Test

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$:

- Train ridge estimator w_{λ} with training set with regularization λ
- measure performance e_{λ} of w_{λ} on validation set

Return
$$w_{\hat{\lambda}}$$
, $\hat{\lambda}$: the λ with the best e_{λ} value



Model Selection for Linear Regression

A couple of common metrics for model selection...

Residual Sum-of-squared Errors The total squared residual error on the held-out validation set,

Lower the better
$$\operatorname{RSS} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^{4} (y_j - w^T x_j)^2 \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^{4} (y_j - y_j)^2 \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^{4} (y_j - y_j$$

Coefficient of Determination Also called R-squared or R².

Higher the better

Model selection metrics are known as "goodness of fit" measures

Model Selection approach 2: cross-validation

Main idea: improve data efficiency by splitting the training / validation data in multiple ways



K-fold Cross Validation: Partition training data into K "chunks" and for each run select one chunk to be validation data

For each run, fit to training data (K-1 chunks) and measure performance on validation set. Average model performance across all runs.

K = 5, 10 are typical good choices

Source: Bishop, C. PRML

Cross-validation: formal description

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$:

For $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$:

- Train ridge estimator f with $S \setminus fold_k$
- measure performance $e_{\lambda,k}$ of f on fold_k

Compute average performance: $E_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} e_{\lambda,k}$

Choose
$$\hat{\lambda}$$
 := best λ according to E_{λ}
Train \hat{f} using S with hyperparameter $\hat{\lambda}$

What is the largest possible value of *K*? K = |S| -- this is called leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV)



"Shrinkage" Feature Selection

Regularization down-weight features that are not useful for prediction...

Quadratic penalty $\lambda \|w\|^2$ down-weights (shrinks) features that are not useful for prediction

–	Ridge	LS	Term
E	2.452	2.465	Intercept
pr	0.420	0.680	lcavol
au	0.238	0.263	lweight
	-0.046	-0.141	age
(9	0.162	0.210	lbph
1	0.227	0.305	svi
	0.000	-0.288	lcp
	0.040	-0.021	gleason
	0.133	0.267	pgg45

Example *Prostate Cancer Dataset* predicts prostate-specific cancer antigen with features: age, log-prostate weight (lweight), log-benign prostate hyperplasia (lbph), Gleason score (gleason), seminal vesical invasion (svi), etc.

L2 regularization learns zero-weight for log capsular penetration (lcp)

Feature Weight Profiles

L1 penalty more likely learns coefficients that are zero, thus induces sparsity



sklearn.linear_model.Lasso

class sklearn.linear_model.Lasso(*alpha=1.0*, *, *fit_intercept=True*, *normalize='deprecated'*, *precompute=False*, *copy_X=True*, *max_iter=1000*, *tol=0.0001*, *warm_start=False*, *positive=False*, *random_state=None*, *selection='cyclic'*) **1** [source]

Parameters: alpha : float, default=1.0

Constant that multiplies the L1 term. Defaults to 1.0. alpha = 0 is equivalent to an ordinary least square, solved by the LinearRegression object. For numerical reasons, using alpha = 0 with the Lasso object is not advised. Given this, you should use the LinearRegression object.

fit_intercept : bool, default=True

Whether to calculate the intercept for this model. If set to False, no intercept will be used in calculations (i.e. data is expected to be centered).

precompute : 'auto', bool or array-like of shape (n_features, n_features), precompute

Whether to use a precomputed Gram matrix to speed up calculations. The Gram matrix can also be passed as argument. For sparse input this option is always False to preserve sparsity.

copy_X : bool, default=True

If True, X will be copied; else, it may be overwritten.

Specialized methods for cross-validation...

sklearn.linear_model.LassoCV

class sklearn.linear_model.LassoCV(*, eps=0.001, n_alphas=100, alphas=None, fit_intercept=True, normalize='deprecated', precompute='auto', max_iter=1000, tol=0.0001, copy_X=True, cv=None, verbose=False, n_jobs=None, positive=False, random_state=None, selection='cyclic')

[source]

Computes solution using coordinate descent

sklearn.linear_model.LassoLarsCV

class sklearn.linear_model.LassoLarsCV(*, fit_intercept=True, verbose=False, max_iter=500, normalize='deprecated', precompute='auto', cv=None, max_n_alphas=1000, n_jobs=None, eps=2.220446049250313e-16, copy_X=True, positive=False) ¶

[source]

Uses *least angle regression* (LARS) to compute solution path Their results are similar; LassoCV may be more stable

L1 Regression Cross-Validation

Perform L1 Least Squares (LASSO) 20-fold cross-validation,

model = LassoCV(cv=20).fit(X, y) Or

model = LassoLarsCV(cv=20, normalize=False).fit(X, y)

3800

.....

Mean square error on each fold: coordinate descent (train time: 0.38s)

Plot solution path for range of alphas,



alpha_ value chosen by cross validation

Quiz 8

• Let's fit a linear regression model $y = w \cdot x$ on the following training data:

#bedrooms (x)	House price / 100K (y)
1	2
2	4

- Draw the training data points in an x-y plane
- Write down the mean square error as a function of w
- Find \widehat{w} that minimizes the mean square error
- Draw the line $y = \widehat{w} \cdot x$

Quiz 8

Draw the training data points in an x-y plane

Write down the mean square error as a function of w

$$\frac{1}{2} \left((w \cdot 1 - 2)^2 + (w \cdot 2 - 4)^2 \right)$$



 $2.5w^2 - 10w + 20$

Find \widehat{w} that minimizes the mean square error $\widehat{w} = -\frac{b}{2a} = 2$

Interpretation: every additional bedroom will increase the house price by 200K

Draw the line $y = \widehat{w} \cdot x$



Announcements 4/2



Note:

- normal distribution with mean 50 and stddev 0.5 is denoted as $N(50, 0.5^2) note$ the square
- There is no such thing as $\frac{N(50,0.5^2)}{10}$ -- we can talk about the distribution of $\frac{X}{10}$ when $X \sim N(50, 0.5^2)$ though

We are working on answering questions on Piazza..

Midterm grades will be capped at 100

Choosing Regularization Strength

We need to tune regularization strength to get the best performance...

$$w_{\perp}^{\text{L2}} = \arg\min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$$

underfitting

high bias

Prediction error

high variance

test error

training error

best model

Model complexity

Regularization strength λ

High $\lambda =>$ learned w has small weights increases bias & decreases complexity

Model selection: How should we properly tune λ ?

L1 Regression Cross-Validation

Perform L1 Least Squares (LASSO) 20-fold cross-validation,

model = LassoCV(cv=20).fit(X, y) Or

model = LassoLarsCV(cv=20, normalize=False).fit(X, y)

3800

.....

Mean square error on each fold: coordinate descent (train time: 0.38s)

Plot solution path for range of alphas,



alpha_ value chosen by cross validation

Feature Selection

Feature selection

• Use only a few features to make predictions

- Benefits of using only a few features:
 - Model selection trades off between bias and complexity
 - Interpretability makes the model trustworthy by e.g. doctors and policy makers
 - E.g. cardiovascular disease risk
 - = 0 x physical activity + 3.5 x smoking + 2.8 x cholesterol + ...

Rate of Prostate Cancer



https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/age-range-for-prostate-cancer

Example: Prostate Cancer Dataset

Best LASSO model learns to ignore several features (age, lcp, gleason, pgg45).



<u>**Task</u>**: predict logarithm of prostate specific antigen (PSA).</u>

Wait...Is **age** really not a significant predictor of prostate cancer? What's going on here?

Age is highly correlated with other factors and thus *not significant* in the presence of those factors The optimal strategy for p features looks at models over all possible combinations of features,

```
For k in 1,..., p:
   subset = Compute all subset of k-features (p-choose-k)
   For kfeat in subset:
      model = Train model on kfeat features
      score = Evaluate model using cross-validation
Choose the model with best cross-validation score
```

Best-Subset Selection



age Log prostrate weight Log cancer volume

Models with 1 variable:



Models with 2 variables:







Models with 3 variables:



Best subset works well

reasonably good test error, low standard deviation, and only based on two features!

Term	LS	Best Subset	Ridge	Lasso			
Intercept	2.465	2.477	2.452	2.468			
lcavol	0.680	0.740	0.420	0.533			
lweight	0.263	0.316	0.238	0.169			
age	-0.141	I	-0.046				
lbph	0.210		0.162	0.002			
svi	0.305		0.227	0.094			
lcp	-0.288	I	0.000				
gleason	-0.021		0.040				
pgg45	0.267		0.133				
Test Error	0.521	0.492	0.492	0.479			
Std Error	0.179	0.143	0.165	0.164			

[Source: Hastie et al. (2001)]

70

Time complexity

- Data have 8 features, there are 8-choose-k subsets for each k=1,...,8 for a total of 255 models
- Using 10-fold cross-val requires 10 x 255 = 2,550 training runs!
- In general, $O(2^p)$ time complexity

This is undesirable even for moderate p (e.g. p = 20)

Instead, we can use greedy algorithms to reduce time cost

Forward Sequential Selection



Forward Sequential Selection

An efficient method that adds the most predictive feature one-by-one

```
featSel = empty
featUnsel = All features
For iter in 1,...,p:
  For kfeat in featUnsel:
   thisFeat = featSel + kfeat
    model = Train model on this Feat features
    score = Evaluate model using cross-validation
  featSel = featSel + best scoring feature
  featUnsel = featUnsel - best scoring feature
Choose the model with best cross-validation score
```
Backward Sequential Selection



Backward Sequential Selection

Backwards approach starts with *all* features and removes one-by-one

```
featSel = All features
For iter in 1,...,p:
For kfeat in featSel:
   thisFeat = featSel - kfeat
   model = Train model on thisFeat features
   score = Evaluate model using cross-validation
   featSel = featSel - worst scoring feature
Choose the model with best cross-validation score
```

Comparing Feature Selection Methods

Sequential selection is greedy, but often performs well...



Example Feature selection on data with p=30 features with pairwise correlations (0.85). True feature weights are all zero except for 10 features, with weights drawn from N(0,6.25).

Sequential selection with p features takes $O(p^2)$ time, compared to exponential time for best subset

Sequential feature selection available in Scikit-Learn under: feature_selection.SequentialFeatureSelector